

Buckinghamshire & Milton Keynes Fire Authority



MEETING	Overview and Audit Committee
DATE OF MEETING	2 December 2015
OFFICER	David Sutherland, Director of Finance and Assets
LEAD MEMBER	Councillor Andy Dransfield
SUBJECT OF THE REPORT	Treasury Management Strategy 2016/17
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	<p>This report is being presented as the Fire Authority is required to approve the Treasury Management Policy Statement, Treasury Management Strategy Statement and the Annual Investment Strategy. These documents (Appendix A) all support the Medium Term Financial Plan.</p> <p>The current strategy is operating effectively and outperforming the benchmark targets. There are no significant changes to the proposed strategy for 2016/17.</p>
ACTION	Decision.
RECOMMENDATIONS	It is recommended that the Authority be recommended to approve the Treasury Management Policy Statement, Treasury Management Strategy Statement and the Annual Investment Strategy for 2016/17.
RISK MANAGEMENT	<p>Making investments in the Authority's own name means that the Authority bears the risk of any counterparty failure. This risk will be managed in accordance with the strategy and with advice from external treasury management advisors.</p> <p>The Director of Finance and Assets will act in accordance with the Authority's policy statement; treasury management practices and CIPFA's Standard of Professional Practice on Treasury Management. There are no direct staffing implications.</p>
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS	<p>The proposed budget for 2016/17 is £100k. It is anticipated that the budget will be met. Detailed information is shown within Appendix A.</p> <p>The advice from the Authority's current treasury management advisers (Capita) is that they are "not aware of any public sector bodies which actually make ethical investments. This is down to the two factors</p>

	<p>referred to, i.e. security, in that an organisation may be ethical but may not have the required credit ratings and guarantees in place to secure your investment and secondly yield, where returns are well below other secure investments available in the market place. To go down this route may therefore compromise security and yield.”</p> <p>“Ethical investments” currently available do not provide the necessary security and yield.</p>
LEGAL IMPLICATIONS	<p>The Authority is required by section 15(1) of the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to the Department for Communities and Local Government Guidance on Local Government Investments; and by regulation 24 of the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 [SI 3146] to have regard to any prevailing CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice.</p> <p>Under Section 12 of the Local Government Act 2003 the Authority has the power to invest for “any purpose relevant to its functions” and “for the purposes of the prudent management of its financial affairs”.</p> <p>However it must exercise its investment power in accordance with its fiduciary duty, analogous to that of a trustee, owed to those who contribute to the funds of the Authority.</p>
CONSISTENCY WITH THE PRINCIPLES OF COLLABORATION	No direct impact.
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No direct impact.
EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY	No direct impact.
USE OF RESOURCES	The projected income has been factored into the Medium Term Financial Plan.
PROVENANCE SECTION & BACKGROUND PAPERS	<p>CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services (CIPFA Code).</p> <p>Department for Communities and Local Government Guidance on Local Government Investments (DCLG Guidance).</p>
APPENDICES	<p>Appendix A – Treasury Management Policy Statement, Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy</p> <p>Appendix B – Provisional Counterparty List</p> <p>Appendix C – Prospects for Interest Rates</p>
TIME REQUIRED	10 minutes.

REPORT ORIGINATOR AND CONTACT	Linda Blunt lblunt@bucksfire.gov.uk 01296 744404
--	---

Appendix A – Treasury Management Policy Statement, Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy

Treasury Management Policy Statement

This Authority defines its treasury management activities as:

The management of the Authority's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.

This Authority regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities will focus on their risk implications for the organisation, and any financial instruments entered into to manage these risks.

This Authority acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of its business and service objectives. It is therefore committed to the principles of achieving value for money in treasury management, and to employing suitable comprehensive performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.

The investment policy objective for this Authority is the prudent investment of its treasury balances. The Authority's investment priorities are the security of capital and liquidity of its investments so that funds are available for expenditure when needed. Both the CIPFA Code and DCLG guidance require the Authority to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The generation of investment income to support the provision of local authority services is an important, but secondary, objective.

The Authority's borrowing objectives are to minimise the revenue costs of debt whilst maintaining a balanced loan portfolio. The Authority will set an affordable borrowing limit each year in compliance with the Local Government Act 2003, and will have regard to the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities when setting that limit.

Treasury Management Strategy Statement

Current Portfolio Position

The Authority's treasury portfolio position as at 30 September 2015 comprised:

Borrowing

Fixed Rate Funding: £8.265m Average Rate: 4.65%

During Quarter 4 2015/16 the Authority will repay £515k of borrowing and in Quarter 1 2016/17 will repay a further £368k, reducing the portfolio position to £7.382m at an average interest rate of 4.59%.

Investments

£25.218m Average Rate 1 April 2015 to 30 September 2015: 0.76%

It is anticipated that a number of large payments will be made before the end of the year. Therefore, projected interest receivable (see 'Prospects for Interest Rates' below) has been modelled on an average fund balance of £20m.

Prospects for Interest Rates

For 2016/17, the Authority will continue with Capita as its external treasury management advisor. Capita's view of the prospects for interest rates can be seen in Appendix C.

Capita advise that the current benchmark rate of return on investments should be Base Rate (currently 0.50%), although the rate may be higher if the Authority is able and willing to commit funds for longer durations (up to one year). Using this benchmark figure would give an annual return of circa £100k on a balance of £20m (the total projected return for 2015/16 is circa £150k).

If the Annual Investment Strategy was to remain unchanged from 2015/16, the Authority projects that it could achieve an average rate of 0.79%, which would give an annual return of circa £158k on a balance of £20m.

Borrowing Strategy

The Authority's borrowing objectives are:

- To minimise the revenue costs of debt whilst maintaining a balanced loan portfolio
- To manage the Authority's debt maturity profile, leaving no one future year with a disproportionate level of repayments

No additional borrowing is forecast to take place during the duration of the medium term financial plan.

Investment Strategy

This Authority maintains investments that are placed with reference to cash flow requirements. Investment of the Authority's funds is in accordance with the Annual Investment Strategy.

Debt Rescheduling

The potential for debt rescheduling is monitored in light of interest rate movements.

Any rescheduling will be in accordance with the borrowing strategy. The reasons for rescheduling include:

- The generation of cash savings at minimum risk
- Fulfilment of the borrowing strategy
- Enhancement of the maturity profile of the borrowing portfolio

Due to the current level of penalties on the early repayment of borrowing, it is not expected that any debt will be restructured over the medium term.

Annual Investment Strategy (AIS)

A prudent investment policy has two objectives (as defined by the DCLG guidance):

- achieving first of all security (protecting the capital sum from loss);
- and then liquidity (keeping the money readily available for expenditure when needed);
- once proper levels of security and liquidity are determined, it will then be reasonable to consider what yield can be obtained consistent with those priorities.

Investment Policy

In accordance with guidance from the DCLG and CIPFA, and in order to minimise the risk to investments, the Authority has below clearly stipulated the minimum acceptable credit quality of counterparties for inclusion on the lending list. The creditworthiness methodology used to create the counterparty list fully accounts for the ratings, watches and outlooks published by all three ratings agencies with a full understanding of what these reflect in the eyes of each agency. Using the Capita ratings service potential counterparty ratings are monitored on a real time basis with knowledge of any changes notified electronically as the agencies notify modifications.

Continuing regulatory changes in the banking sector are designed to see greater stability, lower risk and the removal of expectations of Government financial support should an institution fail. This withdrawal of implied sovereign support is anticipated to have an effect on ratings applied to institutions. This will result in the key ratings used to monitor counterparties being the Short Term and Long Term ratings only. Viability, Financial Strength and Support Ratings previously applied will effectively become redundant. This change does not reflect deterioration in the credit environment but rather a change of method in response to regulatory changes.

As with previous practice, ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution and that it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To this end the Authority will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as credit default swaps (CDS) and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings. This is fully integrated into the credit methodology provided by the advisors, Capita in producing its colour coding which show the varying degrees of suggested creditworthiness.

Other information sources used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.

The aim of the strategy is to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties which will also enable diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk.

The intention of the strategy is to provide security of investment and minimisation of risk.

Creditworthiness Policy

This Authority applies the creditworthiness service provided by Capita. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit watches and credit outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Authority to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Authority will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

- Yellow 5 years
- Purple 2 years
- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 3 months
- No colour not to be used

The Capita creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information than just primary ratings and by using a risk weighted scoring system, does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Authority use will be a short term rating (Fitch or equivalent) of short term rating F1, long term rating A-, viability rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored weekly. The Authority is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Capita creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty/investment scheme no longer meeting the Authority's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Authority will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a weekly basis. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Authority's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Authority will also use market data and market information, information on government support for banks and the credit ratings of that supporting government.

Country Limits

In 2014/15, the Authority determined that it would not only use approved counterparties based within the United Kingdom during the year but allowed a limited number of counterparties from outside of the UK to be used. Although none of these counterparties were used during 2015/16, these will remain on the lending list for 2016/17. The primary purpose of this is not to increase yield, but to provide additional diversity to the portfolio to effectively manage risk. A number of non-UK banks are ranked higher than some of the UK banks on the Authority's current counterparty list. A list of the proposed counterparties is shown in Appendix B. Although Capita advise that investments can be placed with some of the counterparties for longer than 100 days, the Authority proposes to limit the duration of all non-UK investments to 100 days.

Counterparty Limits

As per the 2015/16 AIS, the Authority has determined that the maximum balance that can be invested with a single counterparty at any point in time will be no more than 30% of the portfolio, up to a limit of £5 million.

The one exception to this limit in the 2016/17 AIS will continue to be Lloyds, where the maximum balance that can be invested will be a limit of £7.5 million. Of this £7.5 million, no more than £5 million will be invested in non-instant access (call) accounts.

The rationale for this is that Lloyds are the Authority's main banking provider, and as part of the contract will pay credit interest on all balances at a rate of Base Rate minus 0.10% (currently giving an effective rate of 0.40%). This means that:

- A higher rate can be achieved than on most other instant-access accounts
- The staff time taken to move money between our main bank account and other instant access account is reduced
- The banking charges associated with the movement of the money between accounts is reduced
- The additional risk exposure to the Authority is minimal as:
 - Lloyds are part nationalised and enjoy significant support from the Government
 - All amounts over the current £5 million limit would be available for withdrawal immediately should circumstances require

Investment Security

Investments are defined as being in one of two categories:

- Specified investments – these are investments with high security and high liquidity. All specified investments are in sterling and have a maturity of no more than one year. They will be with the UK government, a local authority, a parish council or with an investment scheme or body of "high credit quality" (as judged against the Creditworthiness Policy detailed earlier in this paper)

- Non-specified investments – any type of investment that does not meet the specified investment criteria. A maximum of 10% will be held in aggregate in non-specified investments i.e. the Authority may invest funds with other Local Authorities for longer than 364 days – up to a maximum of five years as denoted by the yellow banding on the Capita creditworthiness policy detailed earlier in this paper. Local authorities are Government backed.

Investment Training

Relevant training and updates will be provided to relevant staff by the external treasury management advisors. This will be supplemented by additional training from CIPFA where necessary.

Investment of Money Borrowed in Advance of Need

The Authority does not currently have any money that has been borrowed in advance of need. No further borrowing is planned over the medium term.

Investment Liquidity

In consultation with external treasury advisors, the Authority will review its balance sheet position, level of reserves and cash requirements in order to determine the length of time for which investments can be prudently committed. Investments will be placed at a range of maturities, including having money on-call in order to maintain adequate liquidity.

Appendix B – Provisional Counterparty List

This list is based on information provided by Capita as at October 2015. Please note that all colours indicated refer to Capita’s creditworthiness policy (see Appendix A):

UK Based Counterparties

Country	Counterparty	Maximum Duration
UK	Abbey National Treasury Services *	Red - 6 mths
UK*	Bank of Scotland **	Red - 6 mths
UK	Barclays Bank plc	Red - 6 mths
UK	Close Brothers	Red - 6 mths
UK	Clydesdale Bank	No colour - 0 mths
UK	Co-operative Bank Plc	No colour - 0 mths
UK	Goldman Sachs International	Green - 100 days
UK	HSBC Bank plc	Orange - 12 mths
UK*	Lloyds Banking Group **	Red - 6 mths
UK	Santander UK PLC *	Red - 6 mths
UK	Standard Chartered Bank	No colour - 0 mths
UK	Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe Ltd	Red - 6 mths
UK	UBS Ltd	Red - 6 mths
UK	Coventry Building Society	Red - 6 mths
UK	Leeds Building Society	Red - 6 mths
UK	Nationwide BS	Red - 6 mths
UK	Yorkshire Building Society	Green - 100 days
UK	Debt Management Office	Yellow - 60 mths
UK	Other Local Authorities	Yellow - 60 mths
UK*	Royal Bank of Scotland Group ***	Blue - 12 mths
UK*	National Wetsminster Bank ***	Blue - 12 mths

* Indicates that the counterparty is nationalised/part nationalised

The Authority will also have the ability to invest in AAA rated money market funds (MMFs) and enhanced money market funds.

Non-UK Based Counterparties

Country	Counterparty	Maximum Duration (as rated by Capita)
Germany	Deutsche Bank AG	Green - 100 days
Germany	DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank	Green - 100 days
Germany	Landesbank Berlin AG	Green - 100 days
Germany	Landesbank Hessen-Thueringen Girozentrale	Green - 100 days
Germany	Landwirtschaftliche Rentenbank	Orange - 12 mths
Sweden	Nordea Bank AB	Orange - 12 mths
Sweden	Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	Red - 6 mths
Sweden	Svenska Handelsbanken AB	Orange - 12 mths
Sweden	Swedbank AB	Red - 6 mths

As noted in Appendix A, the duration of all non-UK investments will be limited to 100 days, even where Capita advise that a longer duration is acceptable.

There are a number of other non-UK based counterparties that have not been included on the list, as either the rates offered are significantly lower than available elsewhere, or that the counterparty is unlikely to take deposits of the size the Authority would be able to offer.

Counterparties Rated 'No Colour' by Capita

As noted in Appendix A, sole reliance will not be placed on the use of Capita ratings. The Authority will also use market data and market information, information on government support for banks and the credit ratings of that supporting government. The Authority added four building societies to its counterparty list in 2014/15, at which time they were all rated 'No Colour' by Capita. Of these only one continues to be rated as such, the rest are now rated, two are 'Red- 6mths' and two are 'Green – 100 Days'.

The following building societies that the Authority proposes to use all have group assets of at least £10billion. The maximum duration for investments will be limited to 100 days.

Country	Counterparty	Maximum Duration (as rated by Capita)
UK	Skipton BS	No colour - 0 mths

Appendix C – Prospects for Interest Rates

The following table gives the Capita central view:

Annual Average %	Bank Rate %	PWLB Borrowing Rates % (including certainty rate adjustment)		
		5 year	25 year	50 year
Dec 2015	0.50	2.40	3.60	3.60
Mar 2016	0.50	2.50	3.80	3.80
Jun 2016	0.75	2.60	43.90	3.90
Sep 2016	0.75	2.80	4.00	4.00
Dec 2016	1.00	2.90	4.10	4.10
Mar 2017	1.00	3.00	4.20	4.20
Jun 2017	1.25	3.10	4.30	4.30
Sep 2017	1.50	3.20	4.40	4.40
Dec 2017	1.50	3.30	4.50	4.50
Mar 2018	1.75	3.40	4.60	4.60
Jun 2018	1.75	3.50	4.60	4.60

The following paragraphs provide Capita's commentary on the current economic situation (*due to the potentially volatile nature of the economy, this section will be updated further before presentation to the CFA*).

Economic forecasting remains difficult with so many external influences weighing on the UK. Our Bank Rate forecasts, (and also MPC decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data evolves over time. Capita Asset Services undertook its last review of interest rate forecasts on 11 August 2015 shortly after the quarterly Bank of England Inflation Report. Later in August, fears around the slowdown in China and Japan caused major volatility in equities and bonds and sparked a flight from equities into safe havens like gilts and so caused PWLB rates to fall below our forecasts for quarter 4 2015. However, there is much volatility in rates as news ebbs and flows in negative or positive ways and news in September in respect of Volkswagen, and other corporates, compounded downward pressure on equity prices. This latest forecast includes a first increase in Bank Rate in quarter 2 of 2016.

Despite market turbulence in late August and in September causing a sharp downturn in PWLB rates, the overall trend in the longer term will be for gilt yields and PWLB rates to rise when economic recovery is firmly established accompanied by rising inflation and consequent increases in Bank Rate, and the eventual unwinding of QE. Increasing investor confidence in eventual world economic recovery is also likely to compound this effect as recovery will encourage investors to switch from bonds to equities.

The overall balance of risks to economic recovery in the UK is currently evenly balanced. Only time will tell just how long this current period of strong economic growth will last; it also remains exposed to vulnerabilities in a number of key areas.

However, the overall balance of risks to our Bank Rate forecast is probably to the downside, i.e. the first increase, and subsequent increases, may be delayed further if recovery in GDP growth, and forecasts for inflation increases, are lower than currently

predicted. The disappointing US nonfarm payrolls figures and UK PMI services figures at the beginning of October have served to reinforce a trend of increasing concerns that growth is likely to be significantly weaker than had previously been expected. This, therefore, has markedly increased concerns, both in the US and UK, that growth is only being achieved by monetary policy being highly aggressive with central rates at near zero and huge QE in place. In turn, this is also causing an increasing debate as to how realistic it will be for central banks to start on reversing such aggressive monetary policy until such time as strong growth rates are more firmly established and confidence increases that inflation is going to get back to around 2% within a 2-3 year time horizon. Market expectations in October for the first Bank Rate increase have therefore shifted back sharply into the second half of 2016.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates currently include:

- Geopolitical risks in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Asia, increasing safe haven flows.
- UK economic growth turns significantly weaker than we currently anticipate.
- Weak growth or recession in the UK's main trading partners - the EU, US and China.
- A resurgence of the Eurozone sovereign debt crisis.
- Recapitalisation of European banks requiring more government financial support.
- Emerging country economies, currencies and corporates destabilised by falling commodity prices and / or the start of Fed. rate increases, causing a flight to safe havens

The potential for upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates, especially for longer term PWLB rates include: -

- Uncertainty around the risk of a UK exit from the EU.
- The ECB severely disappointing financial markets with a programme of asset purchases which proves insufficient to significantly stimulate growth in the EZ.
- The commencement by the US Federal Reserve of increases in the Fed. funds rate causing a fundamental reassessment by investors of the relative risks of holding bonds as opposed to equities and leading to a major flight from bonds to equities.

UK inflation returning to significantly higher levels than in the wider EU and US, causing an increase in the inflation premium inherent to gilt yields.